

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSI NER of PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington DC 2 221
www.depto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 924.872	08 09 2001	Hiroyuki Nagasawa	Q65781	3695
7590 06 04 2002				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213		MULPUI	RI, SAVITRI	
			ART INIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 06 04.20	002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

) <i>((:</i>	1-4:	C	
JTTICE	ACTION	Summary	

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/924,872

Nagasawa

Examiner

Savitri Mulpuri

Art Unit **2812**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 5, 2002 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X. Claim(s) 1-19 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-13 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) X Claim(s) 14 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. R) **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 13) a) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). 14) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 15) Attachment(s) 1: X Notice of References Cited PTO-892. Interview Summary PTO-413: Paper No s Notice of informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review PTO-948 5 3 X Information Disclosure Statement's PTO-1449 Paper No.s. Other

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

RESTRICTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, 17-19 are, drawn to method, classified in class 438, subclass 479.
 - 11. Claims 14-16, drawn to product and product -by-process, classified in class 257, subclass 77.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case product

 claims can be formed a materially different process such as directly depositing silicon carbide and
 then doping silicon carbide with impurity elements.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- During a telephone conversation with Mr. Alan Kasper on 5/27/02 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of II, claims 14-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-13, 17-19 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steck; et al and Cimalla et al.

Steck; et al and Cimalla et al.disclose a method of making a semiocnductor devoie by oxidizing doped silicon layer (see absatrcts in both Steck; et al and Cimalla et al).

Claims 14 and 16 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mulpuri whose telephone number is 305-5184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

SAVITRI MULPURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER